

Objections

In response to the above, the Applicant has submitted a new drawing, Figure 2, per the comments of the Office action, page 2, lines 1-18. Specifically, in independent claim 1 “a terminal latch holder” was canceled in order to bring the claim into compliance. Thus, this element has not been added to the drawing.

In independent claim 30, it is noted that the elements “first frictional member” and “second frictional member” were amended to “first extension for frictionally engaging” and “second extension for frictionally engaging” and are shown in Figure 3, elements 12f and 12e.

In the Specification, element “30a” refers to the “cover” and the element referred to as the “chamber” was amended to element “30f”. As such, 30f was updated in Figure 2.

Page 2, lines 22-23 of the Office action state that page 4, line 9 and page 9, line 3, the reference character “12c” has been used to designate both “a fastener” and “connection”. The Specification was amended to reference character “12c” as “a fastener”.

The Office states that page 5, lines 13-18, the reference character “60a” has been used to designate both “first peripheral region” and “the readable message”. The Specification was amended to state:

“In order for a person to obtain the information on the system or component status a masking cover 70 is provided that includes a first peripheral opening 70a that permits viewing the readable message on one or the other of the peripheral regions 60a and 60b therethrough.” (emphasis added.)

The Office comments that on page 7, line 16 and page 8, line 1, the reference character “30a” has been used to designate both “chamber” and “cover”. The specification was amended to differentiate these two different elements. Element “30a” refers to the “cover” and the element

referred to as the “chamber” was amended to element “30f”. Figure 2 also reflects this amendment.

Additionally, the Office notes that on page 8, lines 4-6, the reference character “55” has been used to designate both “the cam” and “the cam lobe”. The cam lobe is element “55b” and the Specification was amended.

On page 8, lines 16 and 17, the reference characters “20” and “30” have both been used to designate “terminal block holder”. Terminal block holder is referenced as character 20 and the Specification was amended.

On page 9, lines 1 and 3, the Office cites that the reference character “15” has been used to designate both “interface device” and “transducer”. Both references were amended to:

“interface device 15.” (emphasis added)

Independent claim 20 and dependent claim 32 were objected to on page 3, lines 13-19 of the Office action because of informalities. Specifically, in dependent claim 3, “a cover” was amended to “the cover”, to complete dependent claim 15, the word “device” was added, in dependent claim 18, the word “indicter” was amended to “indicator”, in independent claim 20, “indictor” was changed to “indicator” and in dependent claim 32, “finer” was amended to “finger”.

The Office cites that claims 27-29 were missing. Claims 27-29 have been canceled.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C 112

Claim 1-9 and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. More specifically, claim 1 and claim 30 lack written

description of “terminal holder latch” and “a first frictional member and a second frictional member”, respectively.

In review of the application, independent claim 1 was amended to bring it into compliance:

“an electrical terminal holder secured in said housing...”

This amendment addresses the rejection of independent claim 1 and dependent claims 2-9.

Additionally, the Office stated that independent claim 30 lacks written description of “a first frictional member and a second frictional member”. Independent 30 was amended to:

“a housing, said housing having a first extension for frictionally engaging a switch holder and a second extension for frictionally engaging a terminal block holder...” (emphasis added).

This amendment addresses the rejection of independent claim 30 and dependent claims 31 and 32.

The Applicant also noticed a typographical error in claim 2 whereby the claim was dependent upon itself. Dependent claim 2 was amended to be dependent upon claim 1.

Accordingly, it is submitted that claims 1-9 and 30-32 are allowable and a notice of allowance, as amended, is respectfully requested. Applicant has enclosed a marked-up version of the amendment with this response.